




SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	S-2-105 (Old Vacluse Road) over I-20 Emergency Bridge Replacement					
	Tuesday, August 5, 2025					
	Crowder		United		Reeves	
Preliminary Responsiveness and Responsibility	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
Were the Proposals submitted in accordance with the Milestone Schedule?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Is a Technical Proposal Narrative provided?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Are Conceptual Plans provided?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Is Proposer still considered responsive?	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW		CW		CW	
Responsiveness	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments	Yes/No	Comments
Is the EEO Certificate provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Is the Non-Collusion Certificate provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Are the Addendum Receipts provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Disclosure of Potential COI Certifications Provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Confidential and Proprietary Information Page Provided?	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW		CW		CW	
Technical Proposal Narrative	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
In the Technical Proposal Narrative, Proposers shall include a discussion on its Project Delivery and Approach or the proposal will be considered non-responsive. The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be developed in the following sequence:						
1. Describe the Project Delivery & Approach to include assurances and ability to complete the Project within the required timeframe.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
The Technical Proposal Narrative shall contain no more than three pages, excluding the required appendices. Charts, tables, and schedules used to explain or expand on the Technical Proposal are to be included within the page limit and shall not be inserted into the appendices. No additional information shall be accepted, including, but not limited to, links to external websites, video clips, or simulations/visualizations embedded within the Narrative.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
The Technical Proposal Narrative shall be on single sided 8.5"x11" letter sized paper, with minimum ten-point Times New Roman font and double line spacing for text. Any Conceptual Plans shall be provided in black and white on single sided 11"x17" paper unless otherwise noted herein.						
	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments
Overall Section Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW		CW		CW	
Technical Proposal Appendices	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments	Pass/Fail	Comments



SCDOT Design-Build	SCDOT Design-Build Technical Proposal Evaluation Score Sheet					
	S-2-105 (Old Vaucluse Road) over I-20 Emergency Bridge Replacement					
	Tuesday, August 5, 2025					
3. Provide Conceptual Bridge and Roadway Plans. The intent of scoring the Proposer's conceptual roadway plans is for SCDOT to understand that the proposer clearly demonstrates its understanding of requirements of the RFP and the Team's approach to meet those requirements. The quality of the plans will be reviewed and scored (Pass/Fail) for compliance with RFP requirements. The following shall be provided.						
a. Typical sections for proposed roadway	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
b. Plan and Profile of proposed roadway showing existing and proposed Right of Way limits	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
c. Conceptual Bridge Plan and Profile including but not limited to: horizontal and vertical clearances, intent for bridge deck and bridge end drainage, anticipated foundation type, approximate toe of slope with abutment grading and slope protection, expansion joint locations and types of joint	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
d. Superstructure cross sections and substructure elevations showing pertinent structural elements and dimensions.	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP	Pass	MEETS the requirements of the RFP
	Pass/Fail		Pass/Fail		Pass/Fail	
Overall Section Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW		CW		CW	
Overall Team Pass/Fail:						
Overall Pass/Fail:	Pass		Pass		Pass	
Procurement Officer Initials	CW		CW		CW	
I certify that the scores shown on this sheet(s) accurately reflect the actions of the Committee on August 5, 2025 and that the evaluation was done in accordance with the RFP.						
<div><div><div>Michael Pitts Chairperson (voting)</div><div>Austin Purgason Voting Member</div><div>Maddy Barbian Voting Member</div><div>Michael Buck Voting Member</div><div>Ric Ward Voting Member</div><div>Carmen Wright Procurement Officer</div><div>Brian Gambrell Legal</div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div>						